

GREGORY K. WILKINSON, Bar No. 054809  
JAMES E. THOMPSON, Bar No. 041598  
JENNIFER T. BUCKMAN, Bar No. 179143  
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1650  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 325-4000  
Telecopier: (916) 325-4010  
E-Mail: gregory.wilkinson@bbklaw.com  
E-Mail: james.thompson@bbklaw.com  
E-Mail: jennifer.buckman@bbklaw.com

Attorneys for Friant Defendants

[Counsel of record listed on Appendix A]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE  
COUNCIL, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KIRK RODGERS, a Regional Director of  
the UNITED STATES BUREAU OF  
RECLAMATION, et al.,

Defendants.

ORANGE COVE IRRIGATION  
DISTRICT, et al.,

Defendants-Intervenors.

Case No. S-88-1658-LKK/GGH

**STIPULATION REGARDING SUBPOENA  
FOR DOCUMENTS IN A CIVIL CASE  
SERVED UPON NON-PARTY THE  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH  
& GAME; ORDER**

Judge: Hon. Gregory G. Hollows

The California Department of Fish and Game ("Department") and Defendant Friant Water Users Authority ("Friant") hereby stipulate as follows:

1. Friant has served a subpoena duces tecum on the Department in the case of *Natural Resources Defense Council v. Rodgers*, CIV-S-88- 1658 LKK/GGH. The Department is not a party to the litigation.

2. The Department has expressed concern that the volume of documents potentially responsive to the subpoenas is extensive. The Department has therefore asked, and Friant has agreed, to attempt to narrow the scope of the document requests. Friant and the Department have agreed that their counsel will meet to accomplish this task.

3. Friant and the Department have agreed that the time for the Department to respond to the subpoena shall be extended and the Department does not have to respond to the subpoena by the dates indicated on the documents. Friant and the Department have agreed that a new response date will be set after counsel meet and confer. Friant will make a reasonable attempt to accommodate the Department's request for more time, within the constraints imposed by the December discovery cutoff dates.

4. The parties agree that the Department's time for objecting to the subpoena, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c)(2)(B), filing a motion for protective order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b), or filing a motion to quash or modify the subpoena pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c)(3)(A) is likewise extended.

Dated: October 26, 2005

BEST BEST & KRIEGER

By: /s/ Jennifer T. Buckman  
Jennifer T. Buckman  
Attorneys for Friant Defendants

Dated: October 26, 2005

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
of the State of California

By: /s/ Gary Tavetian  
Gary Tavetian, Deputy Attorney General  
Attorneys for California Department of  
Fish and Game

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/01/05

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

---

Honorable Gregory G. Hollows  
United States Magistrate Judge

NRDC1658.ord4